

MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

January 21, 1960
10:00 A.M.

Council Chamber, City Hall

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Miller presiding.

Roll call:

Present: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Absent: None

Present also: W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager; Doren R. Eskew, City Attorney; Reuben Rountree, Jr., Director of Public Works

Invocation was delivered by REV. GENE RUTLEDGE, Koenig Lane Christian Church, 908 Koenig Lane.

Councilman White moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of January 13, 1960, be approved; and the Minutes of the Meeting of January 14, 1960, be approved with correction as noted by Councilman Bechtol as to the inclusion of a statement he made, which he would like to have recorded in the Minutes. The motion, seconded by Councilman Bechtol, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

Hearing on street lighting and street markers in subdivisions was opened. The City Manager stated that at the requests of the Council several years ago and again recently, a study had been made that some minimum requirements for street lighting should be made. His recommendation was that lighting at intersections would be a necessity; and that to provide minimum standards, the subdivider would be permitted to use wooden poles. Costs of installation of the intersection lights could be done for \$175.00 per light. He recommended amending the fiscal policy to require the subdivider to pay for the intersection lighting previous to a refund contract on water and sewer, rather than amending the subdivision ordinance. If lights between the intersections were desired, they too could be installed at the developer's expense of \$175.00 each. For intersection lighting, the front foot cost would be approximately 11 or 12 cents. If the subdivider wished to install metal poles, there would be underground cables, and these lights would be installed at the actual cost, which is about twice as much as the others. As to streetmarkers, the City Manager stated the cost would run around \$20.00 per sign, but these would be the reflector type.

Average cost of markers in new subdivisions would vary from $1\frac{1}{2}\phi$ to 3ϕ per front foot. It was the City Manager's recommendation that the installation be placed in the same category as street lighting. The Mayor stated that if the subdividers did this on their own free will it would be excellent; but if not, the Council wanted to hear them. He said he had made many complaints about the old wooden signs.

MR. LANDON BRADFELD introduced MR. TOM BRADFELD, MR. TOM GRAHAM, MR. KING, MR. BUFORD STEWART, MR. GUBBELS, MR. BULLARD, MR. CONNOLLY, and MR. NELSON PUETT. Mr. Bradfield said his information was the lighting would cost far more than indicated this morning, and said the group would have to reconsider this; however, it seemed to him that street lighting was a function of the city, that the demand for street lighting was limited, and that the present state of economy made it undesirable for the subdivider to take on any costs which were not essential. He said street marking was also the City's function. MR. BUFORD STEWART said most people in his subdivisions wanted lights, but not on their lots, and lighting would run up the costs and depreciate the property. MR. CONNOLLY endorsed all of Mr. Bradfield's statements. MR. BULLARD suggested that this all be set up as optional with the subdivider, although he would not elect to put in the lights, nor did he see why the city should put them in. As to street signs, he said if the city would give the subdividers an option to put them in at the subdivider's cost and convenience, he would put his in himself. MR. TOM BRADFELD brought out that in the 50 acres of Highland Hills there would be a cost of \$1,200 for the lighting, out of an area that produced \$65,000 taxes. He said that out of this amount of tax money, the City could assume the responsibility. Mayor Miller stated this policy would be good if the subdividers could work it out; but at this time he did not want to make it mandatory. Councilman Bechtol suggested that in new areas that the easement line show locations of street lights so that people would know where they were to be previous to their installations.

MR. BULLARD recalled that the subdivision ordinance had been worked on at meetings of city officials and subdividers, and he suggested that this group meet on this matter with the administrative officers and come back before the Council with further information. MR. DAVID BARROW brought up a right-of-way question. MR. NELSON PUETT filed a petition requesting that the City of Austin not attempt to induce, persuade, or require citizens to give, with no offer of payment, their property or property rights to the City as a prerequisite to receiving from the City a building permit, a zoning change, or an approval of a short form or long form subdivision; and that when the City deemed it necessary to request property or property rights from a citizen, that this request be accompanied by an offer to pay a fair price for the property to be taken.

Councilman Palmer suggested that the street lighting and street marking be referred to this committee to work out with the administration. Councilman White agreed with the suggestion. Mayor Miller told the group to come before the Council when they were ready to discuss this again.

- - - - -

MR. JOE DACY, MR. NAT GOODFRIEND, and a group of businessmen, who had just organized, "Austin, Down-town, Unlimited" appeared before the Council to discuss parking facilities. Mr. Dacy inquired if the city property on the

river bank could be turned into parking facilities. The Mayor stated that the bus company was willing to run shuttle services from the parking area, but the merchants had not been unanimous. He told the group there were 20 acres available for parking except on days when the area would be needed during conventions, ball games, or activities at the Coliseum. He said the Council would work with the group and see what could be accomplished, but he did not believe the area would be set aside without some charge. The Mayor noted new development that would use the down-town area services. He said the Council would talk this over and give an answer in a week. Mr. Dacy replied that he knew that not too much could be done until certain other things had been accomplished, but the group was planning for the future, and that he had the feeling this morning that the Council was anxious to help them then and now. As to the alley in his block, he said he would get the paving figures from the Director of Public Works. Those present on the discussion of parking facilities were MR. JACK REED; MR. SIMON, Yarings; MR. BLOOMQUIST, Bloomquist-Clark; MR. CLYDE MALONE, Austin Transit Company; MR. HAWTHORN, J. C. Penney; and a representative from the Chamber of Commerce.

- - - - -

MRS. MILLARD H. RUUD, President, League of Women Voters, made inquiry about Urban Renewal. The Mayor explained the status.

Mayor Miller introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, JULY 17, 1941, AND RECORDED IN ORDINANCE BOOK "L", PAGES 152-174, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WHICH ORDINANCE WAS AMENDATORY OF THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, APRIL 23, 1931, AND RECORDED IN BOOK "I", PAGES 301-318, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE AMENDATORY ORDINANCE HEREBY CHANGING THE USE DESIGNATION FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "D" INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT ON THE REAR 265.6 FEET OF ONE LOT WHICH FRONTS APPROXIMATELY 164 FEET ON THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SHADY LANE, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 811-815 SHADY LANE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE MAPS SO AS TO RECORD THE CHANGE HEREBY ORDERED; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Palmer moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Miller introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, JULY 17, 1941, AND RECORDED IN ORDINANCE BOOK "L", PAGES 152-174, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WHICH ORDINANCE WAS AMENDATORY OF THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, APRIL 23, 1931, AND RECORDED IN BOOK "I", PAGES 301-318, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE AMENDATORY ORDINANCE HEREBY CHANGING THE FOLLOWING: (1) THE NORTH 202.37 FEET OF THE WEST ONE HALF OF LOT 8, AND THE NORTH 202.37 FEET OF THE EAST 85 FEET OF LOT 7, RIDGETOP GARDENS, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 1307-1311 EAST 52ND STREET, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "BB" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; AND (2) LOTS 79, 80 AND 81, SHOALWOOD ADDITION, SECTION TWO, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; AND (3) A TRIANGULAR SHAPED TRACT OF LAND FRONTING APPROXIMATELY 585.71 FEET ON THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF HANCOCK DRIVE, LOCALLY KNOWN AS 2114-2212 HANCOCK DRIVE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND "O" OFFICE DISTRICT TO "GR" GENERAL RETAIL DISTRICT; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE MAPS SO AS TO RECORD THE CHANGES HEREBY ORDERED; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Palmer moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Miller introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, JULY 17, 1941, AND RECORDED IN ORDINANCE BOOK "L", PAGES 152-174, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, WHICH ORDINANCE WAS AMENDATORY OF THAT CERTAIN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, APRIL 23, 1931, AND RECORDED IN BOOK "I", PAGES 301-318, INCLUSIVE, OF THE ORDINANCE RECORDS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, THE AMENDATORY ORDINANCE HEREBY CHANGING THE USE DESIGNATION FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" COMMERCIAL DISTRICT ON LOTS 9-14, BLOCK J, PLAZA PLACE, IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE USE MAPS SO AS TO RECORD THE CHANGE HEREBY ORDERED; AND SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the second time and Councilman Palmer moved that the rule be suspended and the ordinance passed to its third reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The ordinance was read the third time and Councilman Palmer moved that the ordinance be finally passed. The motion, seconded by Councilman White,

carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

The City Manager submitted the following:

"Sealed bids opened 10:00 A.M. Jan.19, 1960
Tabulated by: O.G.Brush, Purchasing Agent

BIDS ON WHITE LIME - FILTER PLANTS
TWELVE MONTHS CONTRACT - FEB 1, 1960 - Jan. 31, 1961

"Invitations to bid sent to:

Austin White Lime Company - Plant at McNeil
Round Rock White Lime Co. - Plant at Round Rock
Whitestone Lime Company - Plant at Leander
U. S. Gypsum Company - Plant at New Braunfels

Estimated Quantity	Austin White Lime Company Unit Total	Round Rock White Lime Co. Unit Total	Whitestone Lime Company Unit Total	Low Bids Received Jan.20,1959
4000 tons	\$16.35 \$65,400.00	\$16.40 \$65,600.00	\$17.10 \$68,400.00	\$16.40 Round Rock White LimeCo.

"NOTE: For the second time bids have not been identical, and lower than previous years bid.

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend low bidder, Austin White Lime Company
be awarded contract.

"W. T. Williams, Jr. City Manager"

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 19, 1960, for the furnishing of white lime for the Filter Plants for a period of twelve months beginning February 1, 1960, and ending January 31, 1961; and,

WHEREAS, the bid of Austin White Lime Company in the sum of \$65,400.00 for 4000 tons was the lowest and best bid therefor, and the acceptance of such bid has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin, and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bid of Austin White Lime Company in the sum of \$65,400.00 for 4000 tons of white lime be and the same is hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager of the City of Austin is hereby authorized and directed to execute a contract on behalf of the City of Austin with Austin White Lime Company.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Palmer, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

Councilman White offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

(RESOLUTION)

WHEREAS, bids were received by the City of Austin on January 12, 1960, for the purchase of various trucks to be used by the Street and Bridge, Sanitary Sewer, Electric Distribution, Health and Recreation Departments of the City of Austin; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of McCormick Farm Store in the sum of \$2,499.04 for one 2-1/2 Ton cab and chassis, in the sum of \$3,315.00 for one 2-Ton dump truck, in the sum of \$5,432.74 for two 2-Ton dump trucks and two trade-ins, and in the sum of \$1,399.91 for one 1/2 Ton pick-up and trade-in, were the lowest and best bids therefor; and,

WHEREAS, the bids of Capitol Chevrolet, Inc. in the sum of \$1,961.00 for one 1-Ton platform truck, in the sum of \$1,617.00 for one 3/4 Ton pick-up and trade-in, in the sum of \$3,018.00 for two 1-Ton cab and chassis and trade-in, in the sum of \$1,350.00 for one 1/2 Ton pick-up and trade-in and in the sum of \$1,497.00 for one 1/2 Ton pick-up and trade-in; and,

WHEREAS, the acceptance of such bids has been recommended by the Purchasing Agent of the City of Austin and by the City Manager; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:

That the bids, as above set out, be and the same are hereby accepted, and W. T. Williams, Jr., City Manager, be and he is hereby authorized to enter into contracts with McCormick Farm Store and Capitol Chevrolet, Inc.

The motion, seconded by Councilman Palmer, carried by the following vote:
Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller
Noes: None

The City Manager submitted the following:

"December 29, 1959

"To: Honorable Mayor and City Council

Reference: Bids on 50,000 barrels of #5 Fuel Oil for Power Plant.

"Invitation to bid was sent all major oil companies in this area and to others who it was thought could possibly bid.

"Only one bid received - from Texstar Petroleum Company of Carrizo Springs, Texas @ \$3.45 per bbl. less 1% discount if paid in 20 days.

"Bids were taken last May for this type oil and Texstar was only bidder at that time (Formerly The Texas Calgary Company).

Authority also reserves the right to operate the facilities at Austin Dam, including turbines, flood gates, etc., in any manner deemed advisable by Authority.

"5. City agrees to protect and save Authority harmless from any claims for damages that may be asserted by reason of or resulting from or pertaining to the lowering and refilling of Lake Austin as set out above; and by reason of or resulting from or pertaining to any work which City might do in the Lake Austin Reservoir.

"In the letter of January 13, 1960, it is suggested that the City might like to have the lake lowered each year to curtail weed growth. While the Authority desires to cooperate with the City, the many changes in operating conditions make it impossible for the Authority to agree to the lowering of Lake Austin in future years. Should the City desire to lower the elevation of Lake Austin in future years, we suggest you give the Authority sixty days prior notice so that proper plans may be made if the Authority finds it feasible to lower Lake Austin.

"If the above is in accordance with your understanding and is satisfactory to City, please so indicate on copy of this letter at the place provided and return same to Authority, and upon receipt thereof we will proceed with the plan outlined above.

"Yours very truly,
(Sgd) Sim Gideon
W. S. Gideon
General Manager

"The above terms and conditions under which the elevation of Lake Austin is to be lowered in January-February 1960, are hereby declared to be satisfactory to and are accepted and approved by the City of Austin, this ____ day of _____ 1960.

"CITY OF AUSTIN

By _____
City Manager"

Councilman Palmer stated that under the circumstances, the City would have to sign the agreements. Councilman White moved that the City Manager be instructed to sign the letter he had just read. The motion, seconded by Councilman Bechtol, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, White

Noes: None

Present but not voting: Councilman Perry, Mayor Miller (as they were out of the Council Room when vote was taken)

The City Manager called attention to the following letter from the NATIONAL RECREATION ASSOCIATION:

"December 22, 1959

"Mr. Beverly S. Sheffield, Director
Austin Recreation Department
Post Office Box 1160
Austin, Texas

"Dear Mr. Sheffield

"Last summer we received a copy of the attractive Annual Report of the Austin Recreation Department for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1958. This was the report picturing a pair of enthusiastic box hockeyists on the front cover. This has been held until I could acknowledge it personally, which I now take pleasure in doing.

"As usual we are greatly impressed by the breadth of the Austin program and its far-reaching coverage. The splendid physical improvements and land purchases reflect the continuing progressiveness of the City of Austin in the field of public recreation.

"Nationally, as I think you know, we are sensing a trend to more cultural arts activity in the local public recreation programs. You know, too, that the Association is closely tied in with the great movement, now developing in Washington, for a National Cultural Center. Because of these factors it has given us a special lift in examining your fine list of activities, running all the way from acrobatics to wrestling, to find a generous sprinkling of the cultural arts, especially in the fields of music, crafts, dramatics and the dance. It is interesting to note also that these activities account for a very sizable number of participants and that your personnel roster includes specialists who give attention to the development of these non-physical parts of the program. All of this we consider very commendable.

"It is our observation that in their free time today people are more and more feeling the need to use their hands, their bodies, their minds to counterbalance the effect of machines and instruments used in their work. They are turning to music, to art, drama, dance, poetry, literature, gardening, nature study, as means of self-expression. And it is generally found that program expansion in these directions is not done at the expense of the popular physical and aquatic activities or the playground programs but that it supplements these and brings into participation many who have never before shown interest in public recreation. Have you found this to be so in the Austin program?

"On our behalf will you please extend the congratulations and best wishes of the National Recreation Association to the members of your Board and staff and also to the City Manager and the City Council. We salute Austin as a most progressive municipality in the field of public recreation and are proud to have the recreation department affiliated with us for service. "

Councilman Bechtol moved that the City Clerk write the Recreation Department and Parks Board congratulating them on receiving this commendation

from the National Recreation Association. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, White

Noes: None

Present but not voting: Councilman Perry, Mayor Miller (as they were out of Council Room when vote was taken)

The City Manager submitted the question of widening East 7th Street, as to the established width. The right-of-way in question was from Navasota to Chicon. He read the following report:

"January 20, 1960

"MEMORANDUM TO: Mr. W. T. Williams, Jr.
City Manager

SUBJECT: East 7th Street Improvements

"The State Highway Department is eager to award construction contracts upon the widening of East 7th Street in February and before advertising for bids, they require firm confirmation concerning the right of way requirements.

"We have concluded that the required 80 feet of right of way for East 7th Street has existed since 1840 and that the full width of the pavement can be established within the right of way without undue disturbance to any improvements which may have been placed upon the right of way line or beyond it. Naturally, driveways, entrance ramps, steps, etc., will be replaced without expense to the abutting property owners. However, the pavement can not be placed within the right of way without disturbance to some improvements placed there by adjoining property owners if the pavement is located in a precise straight line exactly in the center of the 80 foot right of way. In other words, there may be a somewhat wider sidewalk area on one side than the other at places, and the Highway Engineers have advised us that they can satisfactorily locate the highway within the right of way in this manner.

"We wanted to call this to your attention and thought you might wish to advise the members of the Council of the facts. Of course, any property owner who doubts the accuracy of the location of the street lines will in no wise be prejudiced by the action which is necessary to be taken at this time if the work is to be expedited. However, we have completed our research and are of the opinion that no property owner would have any sustainable title in the right of way, but because it is one of the older sections of town where very few changes have been made in recent years, it may be a surprise to some property owners, although not to others, that the right of way is not exactly where they may have thought it to be.

"Approved:
(Sgd) S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
S. Reuben Rountree, Jr.
Director of Public Works

(Sgd) Doren R. Eskew
Doren R. Eskew
City Attorney"

"(Sgd) R. E. Beckham
Robert E. Beckham
Assistant Director of Public Works

(Sgd) Dudley Fowler
Dudley Fowler
Assistant City Attorney

The Director of Public Works had a strip map. The City Attorney said that in the widening, there would be some steps, drives, etc. disturbed, but no residences. Property owners will now know where the right-of-way is, whereas before, they did not realize the street had the 80' right-of-way.

Councilman Bechtol moved that the City Manager be authorized to buy the right-of-way from the Light-House for the Blind for \$8,500.00, as he had submitted, for the improving of Eltorf Street. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, White

Noes: None

Present but not voting: Councilman Perry, Mayor Miller (as they were out of the Council Room when vote was taken)

The City Manager read a memorandum from the Director of Planning concerning the subdivision ordinance, and announced that the Planning Commission was calling a special meeting on Friday, January 22nd.

The City Attorney stated that there was a decision made on the Tabor zoning application on Ben White Boulevard. He stated there was one objector. Councilman Palmer asked the City Attorney to call him and see if he still had objections at this time.

The Council deferred action on setting up a new business department in the Electric Department.

Mayor Miller introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 590709-B,
ORDINANCE NO. 590903-M, AND ORDINANCE 590924-R,
INSOFAR AS SAID ORDINANCES PERTAIN TO SCENIC
DRIVE FROM A POINT 287.6 FEET WEST OF TAYLORS
DRIVE WESTERLY 549.82 FEET TO THE NORTH PROPERTY
LINE OF HERMAN BROWN ADDITION NO. 2, SECTION 1.

The ordinance was read the first time and Councilman Bechtol moved that the ordinance be passed to its second reading. The motion, seconded by Councilman White, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen Bechtol, Palmer, Perry, White, Mayor Miller

Noes: None

The Council received notice from the City Manager that the following zoning applications had been referred to the Planning Commission and set for public hearing before the Council on February 25, 1960:

T. R. HARTGROVE	2401-2403 Lake Austin Blvd. 401-05 Deep Eddy Avenue	From "O" Office To "LR" Local Retail
W. C. ALFF	1900-08 East 19th Street 1901-03 Chicon Street	From "C" Commercial To "C-2" Commercial
ARTHUR SMITH By C.B.Francis	Tract 1: 2115-2209 Cullen Avenue 6911-13 Burnet Lane Tract 2: 2105-2113 Cullen Avenue	From "A" Residence To "GR" General Retail From "A" Residence To "B" Residence
ROBERT J. McKINLEY By Edgar E.Jackson	3713-15 Interregional Hwy.	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
F.A.ZIMMERMAN, Owner DOYLE BARNETT, Ap- plicant by Jack F. Cook, Jr.	3903 Allice Avenue	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
ROY BEAL & DUNNING BRIGHT By Frank W.McBee, et al	1705-09 Guadalupe	From "C" Commercial To "DL" Light Industrial
MRS. CORDELIA A. LENTHE & THEODOR BECKER	4717-4805 Harmon Avenue	From "A" Residence To "C" Commercial
BARNHART & COOK By VERNON COOK	5111-5227 Old Manor Road 2502-2508 New Manor Road	From "A" Residence To "GR" General Retail
TEXAS STATE INVEST- MENT COMPANY By John W. Washington	400-420 West 16th Street 1601-1621 San Antonio St. 401-421 West 17th Street 1600-1620 Guadalupe	From "C" Commercial 3rd Height and Area To "C" Commercial 4th Height and Area
DEAN O. SMITH By Raymond Ramsey	3409 Jefferson Street	From "A" Residence To "LR" Local Retail

There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 2:35 P.M., subject to the call of the Mayor.

ATTEST:

APPROVED

Mayor

Elsie Haskins

City Clerk